

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,527	08/05/2003	William V. Luitje	706139US2	6059	
24938	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
	DAIMLERCHRYSLER INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL CORPORATION			NGUYEN, TAN QUANG	
CIMS 483-0 800 CHRYS	LER DR EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUBURN H	IILLS, MI 48326-2757		3661		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
8-	10/634,527	LUITJE ET AL.	
V Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TAN Q NGUYEN	3661	
	ication appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address -	
Period for Reply		NITU(0)	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare is reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica	ation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 05 August 2003		
,	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	,	
<u> </u>	for allowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits	s i s
,	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	· ·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the	application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	- Fyaminer		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		v the Examiner	
	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	-	
	the correction is required if the drawing(s		:1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to		•	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. & :	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	or lordight priority drider de c.c.c. g	110(4) (4) 61 (1).	
	documents have been received.		
	documents have been received in Ap	plication No.	
	of the priority documents have been re		
·	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action		eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/05/03</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

Application/Control Number: 10/634,527

Art Unit: 3661

DETAIL ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-4 are pending.
- 2. The prior art submitted on August 05, 2003 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai (6,009,496) in view of Koelle et al. (5,826,205) and Neufeld (2003/0065935).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/634,527

Art Unit: 3661

- 6. Tsai discloses a method and apparatus for reprogramming of data into an embedded flash memory of the microcontroller which include means for receiving programming instructions and the application program via a communication port (see figures 2, 5, step 80), means for writing the received application program to the reprogrammable memory (see figures 2-4 and figure 5, step 82), and means for determining whether reprogramming operation is to be performed to either reprogram the memory or execute the normal operation (see figure 6 and the related text).
- 7. Tsai dose not disclose that the system in use in the vehicle. However, such reprogrammable controller for the vehicle is widely used and as disclosed in at least the Koelle et al. reference in at least figure 1. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to realize that such teaching of Tsai can be used in the on-board vehicle for reprogramming the data of the flash memory easily and cost effective.
- 8. Tsai also does not specifically disclose the step of determining whether a valid program has been stored in the reprogrammable memory. However, Neufeld suggests a system which includes the steps of checking whether the boot block/firmware is operable (valid) and if it is not valid, it can be reprogrammed or place the device in the shutdown mode (see at least paragraphs 0008, 0010, 0022). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such teaching of Neufeld into the systems of Tsai and Keolle et al. to provide the system with the enhanced capacity of, instead of checking the request for reprogramming signal is present, checking for the valid application program stored in the memory, and either perform the normal operation, reprogram the memory or shutdown the system depends of the result of the validation signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,527

Art Unit: 3661

9. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. None of the prior art teaches the ECU module being operative to place the vehicle controller in one of a low power state and a power down state after a predetermined amount of time, or when the software module expects programming instructions to arrive via the communication port and the programming instructions have been received after the predetermined amount of time.

Conclusion

- 11. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected. Claims 3 and 4 are objected.
- 12. The following references are cited as being of general interest: Smith (5,949,997), Decker et al. (6,128,694), and Lin et al. (6,523,083).
- 13: Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Tan Q. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 5:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (703) 305-8233.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the Official Fax Center:

(703) 872-9306, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,527

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/tqn January 24, 2005 TAN Q. NGUYEN Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3661